# UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS WACO DIVISION

WSOU INVESTMENTS, LLC D/B/A BRAZOS LICENSING AND DEVELOPMENT,

Case No. 6:21-CV-00128-ADA

Plaintiff,

**JURY TRIAL DEMANDED** 

v.

CISCO SYSTEMS, INC.,

Defendant.

## **SCHEDULING ORDER**

In accordance with the Court's Amended Order Regarding Notice of Readiness for Patent Cases, the Case Management Conference in the above-captioned matter was deemed to have occurred on June 30, 2021.

Pursuant to Rule 16, Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, the Court **ORDERS** that the following schedule will govern deadlines up to and including the trial of this matter:

Date	Event
06/23/2021	Plaintiff serves preliminary infringement contentions in the form of a chart setting forth where in the accused product(s) each element of the asserted claim(s) are found. Plaintiff shall also identify the earliest priority date (i.e. the earliest date of invention) for each asserted claim and produce: (1) all documents evidencing conception and reduction to practice for each claimed invention, and (2) a copy of the file history for each patent in suit. <sup>1</sup>
07/14/2021	Parties submit an agreed Scheduling Order.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> The parties may amend preliminary infringement contentions and preliminary invalidity contentions without leave of court so long as counsel certifies that it undertook reasonable efforts to prepare its preliminary contentions and the amendment is based on material identified after those preliminary contentions were served, and should do so seasonably upon identifying any such material. Any amendment to add patent claims requires leave of court so that the Court can address any scheduling issues.

08/18/2021	Defendant serves preliminary invalidity contentions in the form of (1) a chart setting forth where in the prior art references each element of the asserted claim(s) are found, (2) an identification of any limitations the Defendant contends are indefinite or lack written description under section 112, and (3) an identification of any claims the Defendant contends are directed to ineligible subject matter under section 101. Defendant shall also produce (1) all prior art referenced in the invalidity contentions and (2) technical documents, including software where applicable, sufficient to show the operation of the accused product(s).
09/01/2021	Parties exchange claim terms for construction.
09/15/2021	Parties exchange proposed claim constructions.
09/22/2021	Parties disclose extrinsic evidence. The parties shall disclose any extrinsic evidence, including the identity of any expert witness they may rely upon with respect to claim construction or indefiniteness. With respect to any expert identified, the parties shall identify the scope of the topics for the witness's expected testimony. <sup>2</sup> With respect to items of extrinsic evidence, the parties shall identify each such item by production number or produce a copy of any such item if not previously produced.
09/29/2021	Deadline to meet and confer to narrow terms in dispute and exchange revised list of terms/constructions.
10/06/2021	Defendant files Opening claim construction brief, including any arguments that any claim terms are not indefinite.
10/27/2021	Plaintiff files Responsive claim construction brief.
11/10/2021	Defendant files Reply claim construction brief.
11/29/2021	Plaintiff files Sur-Reply claim construction brief.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Any party may utilize a rebuttal expert in response to a brief where expert testimony is relied upon by the other party.

12/2/2021	Parties submit Joint Claim Construction Statement.
12/06/2021	Parties submit optional technical tutorials to the Court and technical adviser (if appointed). <sup>3</sup>
	Markman Hearing at 9:00 a.m. [This is a place holder date, which may be adjusted as the Markman hearing approaches].
12/17/2021 or 1 business day after the last <i>Markman</i> hearing, whichever is later	Fact Discovery opens; deadline to serve Initial Disclosures per Rule 26(a).
01/27/2022 or 6 weeks after the last <i>Markman</i> hearing, whichever is later	Deadline to add parties.
02/10/2022 or 8 weeks after the last <i>Markman</i> hearing, whichever is later	Deadline to serve Final Infringement and Invalidity Contentions. After this date, leave of Court is required for any amendment to Infringement or Invalidity contentions. This deadline does not relieve the Parties of their obligation to seasonably amend if new information is identified after initial contentions.
04/07/2022 or 16 weeks after the last <i>Markman</i> hearing, whichever is later	Deadline to amend pleadings. A motion is not required unless the amendment adds patents or patent claims. (Note: This includes amendments in response to a 12(c) motion.)
06/16/2022 or 26 weeks after the last <i>Markman</i> hearing, whichever is later	Deadline for the first of two meet and confers to discuss significantly narrowing the number of claims asserted and prior art references at issue. Unless the parties agree to the narrowing, they are ordered to contact the Court's Law Clerk to arrange a conference with the Court to resolve the disputed issues.
07/14/2022 or 30 weeks after the last <i>Markman</i> hearing, whichever is later	Close of Fact Discovery.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> The parties should contact the law clerk to request a Box link so that the party can directly upload the file to the Court's Box account.

07/21/2022 or 31 weeks after the last <i>Markman</i> hearing, whichever is later	Deadline for Opening Expert Reports.
08/18/2022 or 35 weeks after the last <i>Markman</i> hearing, whichever is later	Deadline for Rebuttal Expert Reports.
09/08/2022 or 38 weeks after the last <i>Markman</i> hearing, whichever is later	Close of Expert Discovery.
09/15/2022 or 39 weeks after the last <i>Markman</i> hearing, whichever is later	Deadline for the second of two meet and confers to discuss narrowing the number of claims asserted and prior art references at issue to triable limits. To the extent it helps the parties determine these limits, the parties are encouraged to contact the Court's Law Clerk for an estimate of the amount of trial time anticipated per side. The parties shall file a Joint Report within 5 business days regarding the results of the meet and confer.
09/22/2022 or 40 weeks after the last <i>Markman</i> hearing, whichever is later	Dispositive motion deadline and <i>Daubert</i> motion deadline.  See General Issues Note #9 regarding providing copies of the briefing to the Court and the technical adviser (if appointed).
10/06/2022 or 42 weeks after the last <i>Markman</i> hearing, whichever is later	Serve Pretrial Disclosures (jury instructions, exhibits lists, witness lists, discovery, and deposition designations).
10/20/2022 or 44 weeks after the last <i>Markman</i> hearing, whichever is later	Serve objections to pretrial disclosures/rebuttal disclosures.
10/27/2022 or 45 weeks after the last <i>Markman</i> hearing, whichever is later	Serve objections to rebuttal disclosures and file motions in limine.

Markman booring	File Joint Pretrial Order and Pretrial Submissions (jury instructions, exhibits lists, witness lists, discovery and deposition designations); file oppositions to motions in limine.
11/10/2022 or 47 weeks after the last <i>Markman</i> hearing, whichever is later	File Notice of Request for Daily Transcript or Real Time Reporting. If a daily transcript or real time reporting of court proceedings is requested for trial, the party or parties making said request shall file a notice with the Court and e-mail the Court Reporter, Kristie Davis at kmdaviscsr@yahoo.com  Deadline to meet and confer regarding remaining objections and disputes on motions in limine.
	File joint notice identifying remaining objections to pretrial disclosures and disputes on motions in limine.
11/28/2022 or 49 weeks after the last Markman hearing (or as soon as practicable)	Final Pretrial Conference. The Court expects to set this date at the conclusion of the <i>Markman</i> Hearing.
	Jury Selection/Trial. The Court expects to set these dates at the conclusion of the <i>Markman</i> Hearing.

. 20 21

ALAN D ALBRIGHT

UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> If the actual trial date materially differs from the Court's default schedule, the Court will consider reasonable amendments to the case schedule post-Markman that are consistent with the Court's default deadlines in light of the actual trial date.

#### AGREED:

# By: /s/ Max L. Tribble, Jr.

Tel: (713) 651-9366

Max L. Tribble, Jr. Bar No. 2021395 Shawn Blackburn Bar No. 24089989 (pro hac vice) Bryce Barcelo Bar No. 24092081(pro hac vice) sblackburn@susmangodfrey.com mtribble@susmangodfrey.com bbarcelo@susmangodfrey.com SUSMAN GODFREY LLP 1000 Louisiana St, Suite 5100 Houston, TX 77002-5096

Kalpana Srinivasan Bar No. 237460 (pro hac vice) ksrinivasan@susmangodfrey.com SUSMAN GODFREY LLP 1900 Avenue Of The Stars, Suite 1400 Los Angeles, CA 90067-6029 Tel: (310) 789-3100

Bar No. 325392 (pro hac vice) dnicholson@susmangodfrev.com SUSMAN GODFREY LLP 1201 Third Avenue, Suite 3800 Seattle, WA 98101 Tel: (206) 516-3880

Danielle M. Nicholson

Counsel for Plaintiff WSOU Investments, LLC d/b/a Brazos Licensing and Development

### By: /s/ Brian Rosenthal with permission

Michael E. Jones SBN: 10929400 POTTER MINTON 110 North College, Suite 500 Tyler, TX 75702 mikejones@potterminton.com Telephone: (903) 597-8311 Facsimile: (903) 593-0846

Brian Rosenthal Katherine Dominguez Allen Kathir Admitted pro hac vice brosenthal@gibsondunn.com kdominguez@gibsondunn.com akathir@gibsondunn.com GIBSON, DUNN & CRUTCHER LLP 200 Park Avenue New York, NY 10166

Tel: (212) 351-4000

Ryan Iwahashi Admitted pro hac vice riwahashi@gibsondunn.com GIBSON, DUNN & CRUTCHER LLP 1881 Page Mill Road Palo Alto, CA 94304 Tel: (650) 849-5367

Kenneth G. Parker kparker@gibsondunn.com GIBSON, DUNN AND CRUTCHER LLP 3161 Michelson Drive Irvine, CA 92612 Tel: (949)-451-4336

Counsel for Defendant Cisco Systems, Inc.